

**REMARKS****Argument**

The inventions according to the prior art cited in the Office Action have a constitution that a laser beam sensitive **additive or the like is adhered or added to the surface of a PTFE main body**. Specifically, molybdenum disulfide is added in the case of the Baebler patent, a mark forming material layer containing an energy absorption enhancing agent is adhered in the Harrison Patent, and the structure is made with an article having a surface consisting of a composition of titanium oxide and others in the Yeung patent.

However, the invention of the present application is **a molded product of a seal for slide movement** (a seal ring, a backup ring, a thrust washer, etc; See WO 2004/030920, page 9, line 7) and thus **application thereto of such structure that a marking material is adhered to the surface of the PTFE or application of the like is prohibitive**, for the reason that a marked portion may be peeled off due to sliding movement and, in addition, contamination may occur due to a foreign matter produced by the peeling (See WO 2004/030920, page 10, last 3-4 lines from the bottom).

In contrast to the cited inventions, the invention of the present application does not suffer from the problems mentioned above, because it does not have the structure that a marking material is adhered to the surface of the PTFE or the like, whereby it becomes possible to perform marking on a molded product of a seal for slide movement. Since application of the structures of the cited inventions to the invention of the present application causes technical impediments (peeling of a marking material, etc.) the invention of the present application is not obvious from the cited inventions.


The effect of the present invention is that marking can be performed by means of fluffing of PTFE on a seal product for sliding movement. A laser beam sensitive additive adhered to the surface of PTFE or the like from the prior art is not applicable.

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the claims in the present application, as they now stand, patentably distinguish over the references cited and applied by the Examiner and are, therefore, in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is in order, and such favorable action and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

However, if after reviewing the above amendments and remarks, the Examiner has any questions or comments, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

By:   
John C. Holman  
Reg. No. 22,769

400 Seventh Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201  
(202) 638-6666  
Date: November 24, 2008  
JCH/JLS/